

# WHEN IS $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$

JINHE YE, LIANG YU, AND XUANHENG ZHAO

**ABSTRACT.** We show that there is an additive  $F_\sigma$  subgroup  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A) = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ . However, if  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{R}$  and there is  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $A = \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, assuming the continuum hypothesis (CH), there is a subgroup  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A) = 0$  such that  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$  if and only if  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . A key ingredient in the proof of this theorem consists of some techniques in recursion theory and algorithmic randomness. We believe it may lead to applications to other constructions of exotic sets of reals. Several other theorems on measurable, and especially Borel and analytic subgroups and subfields of the reals are presented. We also discuss some of these results in the  $p$ -adics.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we prove several results concerning the “size” of subgroups and subrings of the reals. Here by “size”, we typically refer to the Hausdorff measure and dimension of such objects. The problem about the “sizes” of certain subgroups, subrings and subfields of the reals has a rich history. The story begins with a classical result in real analysis.

**Theorem 1.1** (Steinhaus [18]). *Suppose  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is Lebesgue measurable and has positive measure. Then the difference set  $A - A := \{x - y : x, y \in A\}$  contains a ball with positive radius whose center is at the origin.*

The following follows immediately.

**Corollary 1.2.** *If  $A$  is a Lebesgue measurable proper subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$  (view it as an additive group), then  $A$  has Lebesgue measure zero.*<sup>1</sup>

Subsequently, Volkmann and Erdős initiated the study of the dimension of subgroups/rings of the reals in the 1960s. In [20] they showed that for each  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , there is a Borel additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$  with Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha$ . Edgar, Miller [3], and independently Bourgain [1] showed that an *analytic* (see the definition above Proposition 2.8) subring of  $\mathbb{R}$  either has Hausdorff dimension 0 or is all of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Mauldin [14] showed that assuming the continuum hypothesis (CH), for each  $\alpha$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , there is a subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$  with Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha$ . Following the strategy of “discretization” used by Bourgain in [1], de Saxcé [16] considered the problem in the setting of connected simple real Lie group endowed with a Riemannian metric and showed that there is no Borel measurable dense subgroup of the Lie group  $G$  above with Hausdorff dimension strictly between 0 and  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(G)$ . For a

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 28A80; Secondary 28A05, 03D32, 12L99.

*Key words and phrases.* subgroups of the reals, Hausdorff dimension, the continuum hypothesis.

<sup>1</sup>In this paper, we always call a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is *null* if  $A$  has Lebesgue measure zero.

more detailed discussion on the early history of the problem, see [3] p.1122. The subject of subgroups of the reals are also natural objects appearing in geometric measure theory and fractal geometry. For example, in the book [4, Section 12.4] by Falconer, there is a detailed study of fractal groups and rings.

In this paper we consider the following related question.

**Question 1.** *Suppose  $A \subseteq (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  is a subobject in some algebraic sense e.g. subgroup, subring or subfield. Is there an  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$A + xA = \{a + xb : a, b \in A\} = \mathbb{R}?$$

*And if such an  $x$  exists, what do we know about the size of  $A$ ?*

By the Marstrand projection theorem (see Fact 3.6), if  $A$  is a Borel subgroup of the reals and  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A) > \frac{1}{2}$ , then for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$  (see Proposition 3.7). The main result of this paper is to refine this proposition. Namely, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** *There is an  $F_{\sigma}$  subgroup  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A) = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, assuming CH:  $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$ , there is a group  $A$  with  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A) = 0$  such that  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$  if and only if  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

The statement and proof of Theorem 1.3 (in Sections 3 and 4) consists of two parts. The first part is the concrete construction in ZF of an  $F_{\sigma}$  null subgroup  $A$  and a real  $x$  such that  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ . It should be noted that the method we developed in this part can only produce a subgroup with Hausdorff dimension  $\frac{1}{2}$ , nevertheless it is already stronger than the condition in Proposition 3.7. For the “Moreover” part (Theorem 4.7), we construct a subgroup with Hausdorff dimension 0 using the concept of genericity in recursion theory. We believe this may be used to construct other exotic subsets of reals.

We also consider what would happen if we restrict the question to the Borel or analytic subgroups. The restriction on the complexity of the subgroup enable us to use methods in geometric measure theory and descriptive set theory. For example, if  $A$  is an analytic subgroup of the reals and  $x$  is a real such that  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ , then there is an  $F_{\sigma}$  subgroup  $B$  of  $A$  such that  $B + xB = \mathbb{R}$  (see Theorem 3.14).

For subrings, the nature is different. If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{R}$  and there is  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $A = \mathbb{R}$  (see Proposition 2.4).

As a complement of the above results, we also consider the following topic about field extension and maximal subfields of  $\mathbb{R}$  avoiding fixed point. Note that such fields are either null or nonmeasurable by Steinhaus theorem.

Quigley investigated the maximal fields of a given field avoiding a fixed point [15]. Particularly, the author tried to “give existence proofs which are more precise than those trivially given by Zorn’s lemma” by the methods of Galois theory. We show that actually the usage of the Axiom of Choice is necessary (see Proposition 2.8 and Corollary 2.9). On the other hand, by assuming CH, we also construct a maximal subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that some given point is not in its algebraic closure relative to  $\mathbb{R}$  with Hausdorff dimension 0 (and hence measurable) (see Corollary 4.10).

The structure of the paper is as follows: in Section 2 we prove Proposition 2.4 and show that the usage of the Axiom of Choice is necessary to prove the existence of maximal subfields of  $\mathbb{R}$  avoiding a fixed point. In Section 3 we will discuss some simple results concerning the basic properties of subgroups and the construction of

a Borel subgroup  $A$  such that  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ . We also give a restriction on those  $x$  such that  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$  given an analytic additive subgroup  $A$  (Corollary 3.13). In Section 4, we show that  $A$  can have Hausdorff dimension 0 by assuming CH and using the concepts of Kolmogorov complexity and generic reals. We also show that such a subgroup  $A$  cannot be  $F_\sigma$  (Proposition 4.8).

Due to the variety of the tools used for the results and the independence of the methods, we postpone the introduction of notation and terminologies, and only recall them when being used.

## 2. SUBRINGS AND SUBFIELDS

In this section, we study subrings of  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . We need the following results in Galois theory and commutative algebra to prove Proposition 2.4.

Recall that an ordered field  $R$  is *real closed* if:

- (i) Any positive element has a square root in  $R$ , and
- (ii) Any polynomial equation  $f(x) = 0$  where  $f(x) \in R[x]$  is of odd degree has a root in  $R$ .

**Fact 2.1** (Artin-Schreier, see Jacobson [8] p.674.). *Let  $C$  be an algebraically closed field and  $K$  be a proper subfield of  $C$  such that  $C/F$  is finite. Then  $F$  is real closed and  $C = F(\sqrt{-1})$ .*

**Fact 2.2** (“Lying-over”, see Jacobson [8] p.411.). *Let  $E$  be a commutative ring,  $R$  a subring such that  $E$  is integral over  $R$ . Then any prime ideal  $p$  of  $R$  is the contraction  $P^c$  of a prime ideal  $P$  of  $E$ , i.e.  $p = P \cap R$ .*

We also have the following lemma, which is presumably well-known.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $K = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , suppose  $F \subseteq K$  is a subfield such that  $K/F$  is finite, then  $F = K$ .*

*Proof.* For  $K = \mathbb{R}$ , it follows from Fact 2.1. For  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ , consider the normal closure  $\bar{K}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p/F$ ,  $\bar{K}$  is finite over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and hence any automorphism of  $\bar{K}$  is continuous. Hence any automorphism of  $\bar{K}$  restricts to identity on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , which implies that  $\mathbb{Q}_p = F = K$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{R}$  and there is  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $A = \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* By our assumption,  $\mathbb{R}$  is finite over  $A$  and hence by Fact 2.2,  $A$  is a field since  $\mathbb{R}$  is a field. Then Fact 2.1 implies  $A = \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** *For any additive subgroup  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , if there is a real  $x$  such that  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ , then for any ring  $R \supseteq A$ ,  $R = \mathbb{R}$ .*

Similar results hold in the  $p$ -adics.

**Proposition 2.6.** *For a subring  $A$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , the  $p$ -adic numbers, such that  $A + xA = \mathbb{Q}_p$ , then  $A = \mathbb{Q}_p$ .*

*Proof.* By Fact 2.2 and assumption,  $A$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . If  $A \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$ , then  $[\mathbb{Q}_p : A] \neq 1$  is finite. This is by Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

Since  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  are Polish (separable completely metrizable) spaces, we refer to a subset of them as *analytic* if it is analytic in the sense of descriptive set theory

(see Kechris [10]). In detail, a subset  $A$  of a Polish space  $X$  is analytic if it is the projection of a Borel subset  $B$  in  $X \times X$ . Note that an analytic subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is Lebesgue measurable.

**Fact 2.7** (see Jech [9] Theorem 11.18). *Every analytic subset of the reals is Lebesgue measurable.*

The proof of this fact is general enough to work for other measures (in Polish spaces) as well (also see [9]). For example, in the following Proposition 2.8, we will use the fact that every analytic subset of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is  $\mu$ -measurable where  $\mu$  is the Haar measure on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

Given a field  $K$  and  $a \in K$ . A *maximal subfield of  $K$  avoiding  $a$*  is a maximal (with respect to inclusion) subfield  $L$  of  $K$  such that  $a \notin L$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $F$  be an analytic subfield of  $K$  with some  $x \in K \setminus F$ . Then there is  $y \in K \setminus F$  such that  $x \notin F(y)$ , i.e.  $F$  is not a maximal subfield of  $K$  avoiding  $x$ .*

*Proof.* Assume otherwise, then  $F$  is a maximal subfield of  $K$  avoiding  $x$ . This implies that  $K/F$  is algebraic. Indeed, if  $x$  is not algebraic over  $F$ , then  $x \notin F(x^2)$ . Now if  $K/F$  is not algebraic, then  $K$  contains a copy of  $F(X)$  for  $X$  transcendental over  $F$ , which does not contain  $x$ .

So each  $y \in K \setminus F$  satisfies a polynomial over  $F$ . Let  $D_n$  denote the set of elements in  $K$  whose minimal polynomial over  $F$  is of degree at most  $n$ , this is an analytic set and  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n = K$ . In particular,  $D_n$  is measurable by Fact 2.7. It is clearly that  $D_n$  is closed under multiplying by  $-1$ . Note further that the difference of 2 elements of degree at most  $n$  over  $F$  has degree at most  $n^2$ . Moreover, there must be  $n$  such that  $\mu(D_n) > 0$  where  $\mu$  denote the Haar measure on  $K$ . So  $D_{n^2} = K$  by Steinhaus theorem for Haar measure on locally compact groups (see [19]). This means that any element in  $K/F$  is of degree at most  $n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By primitive element theorem, we have that  $[K : F]$  is at most  $n$ , a contradiction to Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

The assumption that  $F$  is analytic is used to guarantee that  $D_n$  is measurable, so that we can use the Steinhaus theorem. However,  $D_n$ 's are measurable a priori, the proof will go through as is. It follows from AC that there is a non Lebesgue measurable set. Under some weaker set theoretic axioms, the nature is different.

We use DC to denote *the axiom of dependent choice*, that is: suppose  $R$  is a relation on a nonempty set  $X$ , if for every  $a \in X$ , there is an  $b \in X$  such that  $aRb$ , then there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  in  $X$  such that  $x_n R x_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \omega$ . DC follows from AC (the axiom of choice) trivially. A classical theorem of Solovay [17] is that  $\text{ZF} + \text{DC} + \text{Every set of reals is Lebesgue measurable}$  is consistent.

By the above proof, we have the following conclusion. That is, without the Axiom of Choice, one cannot construct a maximal field in  $\mathbb{R}$  avoiding a given point.

**Corollary 2.9.** *Assume that  $\text{ZF} + \text{DC} + \text{Every set of reals is measurable}$ . Any subfield  $F \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus F$ , there is no maximal subfield  $L \supseteq F$  avoiding  $x$ .*

### 3. SUBGROUPS

The main result of this section is: There is an additive Borel (in fact  $F_\sigma$ ) subgroup  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $A$  is null and  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ . We recall some terminology

and notation for the Hausdorff measures and dimension. Our reference is Falconer [4]. Let  $A \subseteq X$ , where  $(X, d_X)$  is a metric space. Let the diameter of  $A$ , written  $|A|$ , be the supremum of the distances between any two points in  $A$ , i.e.  $|A| = \sup_{x,y \in A} d_X(x, y)$ . Suppose  $s \geq 0$ . For each  $\delta > 0$ , we define the  $s$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of  $A$  by

$$\mathcal{H}^s(A) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}_\delta^s(A) = \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |U_i|^s : \{U_i\} \text{ is a cover of } A, 0 < |U_i| \leq \delta, \forall i \right\}.$$

$\mathcal{H}^s(A)$  can be (and usually is) 0 or  $\infty$ . There is a critical value of  $s$  at which  $\mathcal{H}^s(A)$  ‘jumps’ from  $\infty$  to 0. This critical value is called the *Hausdorff dimension* of  $A$ , written  $\dim_H(A)$ . In other words,

$$\dim_H(A) = \inf\{s : \mathcal{H}^s(A) = 0\} = \sup\{s : \mathcal{H}^s(A) = \infty\}.$$

Next we gather some of the basic properties of subgroups of the reals.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is an additive subgroup.*

- (i) *For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A + xA$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$ .*
- (ii) *If  $p \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $A + pA = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $A = \mathbb{R}$ .*
- (iii) *If  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p_0, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $A + \sum_{i=0}^k p_i A = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $A = \mathbb{R}$ .*
- (iv) *Recall the tensor product*

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^k p_i a_i : k \in \mathbb{N}, p_i \in \mathbb{Q}, a_i \in A \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, k \right\}.$$

*Then  $\dim_H(\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A) = \dim_H(A)$ .*

*Proof.* (i). By the definition of a subgroup.

(ii). Suppose that  $p = \frac{m}{n}$  for some integers  $m$  and  $n \neq 0$ . Then for any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , there are  $a, b \in A$  such that  $a + \frac{mb}{n} = c$ . So  $nc = na + mb \in A$ . Thus  $\mathbb{R} = \frac{1}{n}A$ . Since  $\text{Char}(\mathbb{R}) = 0$ , we have  $\mathbb{R} = n\mathbb{R} = A$ .

(iii). Suppose that  $p_i = \frac{m_i}{n_i}$  for some integers  $m_i$  and  $n_i \neq 0$ . Let  $n = \text{lcm}(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ , the least common multiple. Clearly,  $A \subseteq \frac{1}{n}A$  and  $p_i A \subseteq \frac{1}{n}A$ . Thus,  $A + \sum_{i=0}^k p_i A = \mathbb{R} \subseteq \frac{1}{n}A$ , then  $A = \mathbb{R}$ .

(iv). Note that  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A \subseteq \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n}A$ . But it is clear that  $\dim_H(A) = \dim_H(\frac{1}{n}A)$  for any  $n \geq 1$  since  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto nx$  is bi-Lipschitz. So

$$\dim_H(A) \leq \dim_H(\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A) \leq \dim_H\left(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n}A\right) = \sup_i \dim_H\left(\frac{1}{n}A\right) = \dim_H(A).$$

□

**Remark 3.2.** *There is a proper subgroup  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A.$$

*For example, take  $X$  to be a Hamel basis, i.e. a basis of  $\mathbb{R}$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space, and let  $A$  be the group generated by  $X$ . But note that if  $\dim_H(X) < 1$  (the existence of such a basis can be found in Lutz, Qi, and Yu [12]), then by (iv) of Proposition 3.1,*

$$\dim_H(A) = \dim_H(\mathbb{R}) = 1 > \dim_H(X),$$

*namely, the group generated by a Hamel basis may have Hausdorff dimension greater than that of the basis.*

**Remark 3.3.** Proposition 3.1(ii), though trivial, is useful for us. For example, it enable us to show that  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$  as long as we have that the measure of  $A + xA$  is positive, using Corollary 1.2.

**Corollary 3.4.** Suppose that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is an additive subgroup. Then there is a divisible group  $B \supseteq A$  such that

- (1)  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(B) = \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A)$ ;
- (2) If  $A$  is null, then so is  $B$ .

*Proof.* Clearly from Proposition 3.1(iv) by taking  $B = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ .  $\square$

As indicated by the following proposition, adding or multiplying a rational has little impact on the size of  $A + xA$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** (i) If  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ , then for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , we have  $A + mxA = \mathbb{R}$ .

(ii) If  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ , then for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+, n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ , we have  $A + (x + \frac{n}{m})A = \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* (i). Note that

$$A + mxA = m(\frac{A}{m} + xA) \supseteq m(A + xA).$$

As in Proposition 3.1(ii), we have  $A + mxA = \mathbb{R}$ .

(ii).

$$A + (x + \frac{n}{m})A = A + (mx + n)\frac{A}{m} \supseteq A + (mx + n)A = A + mxA.$$

By (i), we have  $A + (x + \frac{n}{m})A = \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

Following from the Marstrand projection theorem, the existence of  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$  where  $A$  is large enough is easily deduced.

**Fact 3.6** (Marstrand [13]). Let  $F$  be a Borel subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(F) > 1$ . Then for almost all  $\theta \in [0, \pi)$ ,  $\text{proj}_{\theta}(F)$  has positive length as a subset of the line  $L_{\theta} : y = \tan \theta \cdot x$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** If  $A$  is a Borel subgroup of the reals and  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A) > \frac{1}{2}$ , then for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* By the product formula of Hausdorff dimension (see Falconer [4] Chapter 7),

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A \times A) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A) + \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A) > 1.$$

Hence for almost all  $\theta \in [0, \pi)$ ,  $\text{proj}_{\theta}(A \times A)$  has positive length. It is not hard to see that the measure of  $A + xA$  is equal to the measure of  $\text{proj}_{\arctan x}(A \times A)$  times  $1 + x^2$ . Since  $A$  is a group, so is  $A + xA$  (Proposition 3.1(i)). Then by Corollary 1.2,  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

Next, we give a specific construction for the first part of Theorem 1.3. It is worth pointing out that this construction can be carried out in ZF.

**Proposition 3.8.** There is an  $F_{\sigma}$  additive subgroup  $A$  of the reals and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $A$  is null and  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* As a convention, we consider a real  $a$  and its binary expansion

$$m + 0.a_1a_2 \cdots a_n \cdots$$

to be equivalent, where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the integer part of  $a$  and the numbers  $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$  express its decimal part.

Define  $Q_n = \{m \in \mathbb{N} : 3^{n-1} \leq m < 3^n\} (n \geq 1)$ . Then each  $Q_n$  contains  $2 \cdot 3^{n-1}$  numbers.

Divide  $\{1, 2, \dots\}$  into two parts  $P_1, P_2$  such that for all  $n \geq 1$ , the first  $3^{n-1}$  numbers of  $Q_n$  is in  $P_1$ , and the other numbers of  $Q_n$  is in  $P_2$ . Formally,

$$P_1 = \{k : \exists n \exists i \in [3^n, 2 \cdot 3^n](k = 3^n + i)\},$$

$$P_2 = \{k : \exists n \exists i \in [2 \cdot 3^n, 3 \cdot 3^n](k = 3^n + i)\}.$$

Define

$$A_0 = \{m + 0.a_1a_2 \cdots a_n \cdots : m \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge \forall n \in P_2(a_n = 0)\}.$$

Let  $A$  be the additive subgroup of the reals generated from  $A_0$ .

$A_0$  consists of reals with segments of 0s of length  $3^k (k \in \mathbb{N})$ , and ahead of each segments of 0s there is an arbitrary segment of the same length. The condition of the 0s ensures that  $A$  is null. In fact,  $A = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n$ , where

$$A_n = \overbrace{A_0 \pm A_0 \pm \cdots \pm A_0}^n = \{\alpha_1 \pm \alpha_2 \pm \cdots \pm \alpha_n : \alpha_i \in A_0, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Fix  $n \geq 1$ , for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $k \geq 1$  such that  $2^{-\frac{1}{2} \cdot 3^k + 1} < \varepsilon$  and for all  $a = m + 0.a_1a_2 \cdots \in A_n$ ,  $a_m = 0$  for all  $m \in [2 \cdot 3^{k-1}, \frac{5}{2} \cdot 3^{k-1}]$  or  $a_m = 1$  for all  $m \in [\frac{5}{2} \cdot 3^{k-1}, 3 \cdot 3^{k-1}]$ . Then the Lebesgue measure  $\mu(A_n) \leq 2 \cdot 2^{-\frac{1}{2} \cdot 3^k} < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary,  $A_n$  is null, so is  $A$ .

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $x_n = 1$  if and only if  $n = 3^k$  for some  $k \geq 0$ , and define

$$x = 0.x_1x_2 \cdots$$

Given any real  $y = m + 0.y_1y_2 \cdots$ . We shall define a real  $b = 0.b_1b_2 \cdots \in A_0$  such that  $b \cdot x$  and  $y$  are equal on the  $n$ th decimal place for each  $n \in P_2$ . Then we can pick an appropriate  $c \in A_0$  such that  $c + bx = y$ , which means  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ .

Intuitively, in the calculation  $b \cdot x$ ,  $x_{3^k} = 1$  causes the decimal places of  $b$  to shift to the right  $3^k$  places, i.e.

$$0.\overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^{3^k-1} 1 \times 0.b_1b_2 \cdots = 0.\overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^{3^k} b_1b_2 \cdots$$

Denote  $bx = 0.c_1c_2 \cdots$ . By recursion on  $n$ , one can choose  $\{b_m : m \in Q_n \cap P_1\}$  such that  $c_m = y_m$  for all  $m \in Q_n \cap P_2$  as follows.

Suppose  $\{b_m : m \in Q_k \cap P_1, k < n\}$  have been chosen such that  $c_m = y_m$  for all  $m \in Q_k \cap P_2, \forall k < n$ . Whatever  $\{b_m : m \in Q_k \cap P_1, k \geq n+1\}$  are chosen, we have

$$0.\overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^{3^n-1} b_{3^n} b_{3^n+1} b_{3^n+2} \cdots \times x < 0.\overbrace{0 \cdots 0}^{3^n} 1.$$

Hence  $\{c_m : m \in Q_n \cap P_2\}$  is determined only by  $\{b_m : m \in Q_k \cap P_1, k \leq n\}$ . Choose  $\{b_m : m \in Q_n \cap P_1\}$  to assure  $c_m = y_m$  for all  $m \in Q_n \cap P_2$ .

We notice that  $A_0$  is a union of countably many compact set. Thus it is clear that  $A$  is a  $F_\sigma$  set.  $\square$



**Remark 3.9.** Note that the analogue result of the above holds in the  $p$ -adics as well. Namely, there is an  $F_\sigma$  subgroup  $A \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}_p, +)$  and  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $\mu(A) = 0$  and  $A + xA = \mathbb{Z}_p$ , where  $\mu$  denotes the Haar measure on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Indeed, one can identify each  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  with its  $p$ -adic expansion  $a = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i p^i$ ,  $a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ . One defines  $P_1, P_2$  as before and declare that  $A_0$  to be the set of  $a$ 's such that  $a_i = 0$  for  $i \in P_2$ . The same argument as above shows that the group generated by  $A_0$  is a measure 0 subgroup. Analogously, one can define  $x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} x_i p^i$  such that  $x_i = 1$  if  $i = 3^k$  and 0 otherwise. The same calculation as above ensures that  $A + xA = \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

**Remark 3.10.** By the same method as in the above proof one may slightly improve the result to produce a subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$  and a real  $x$  such that  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\dim_H(A) = \frac{1}{2}$ . This is done by making

$$\frac{\sum_{i \leq k} |Q_k|}{|Q_{k+1}|}$$

descend enough quickly as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

One may wonder which real  $x$  can make  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ ? Given  $A$  a subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$ , define

$$X_A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : A + xA = \mathbb{R}\}.$$

**Proposition 3.11.** Suppose that  $A$  a subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$ , if  $x \in X_A$ , then  $x + \mathbb{Q} \subseteq X_A$ . Hence  $X_A$  is not closed if  $A$  is a proper subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.5 (ii).  $\square$

From now until the end of this section, we restrict our attention to an analytic subgroup  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Fact 3.12** (Barthélemy Le Gac [5]). If  $G$  and  $H$  are both analytic subgroups of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $G + H = \mathbb{R}$  and  $G \cap H = \{0\}$ . Then either  $G = \mathbb{R}$  or  $G = \{0\}$ .

We have the following immediate corollary.

**Corollary 3.13.** If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is an analytic additive subgroup,  $A \neq \mathbb{R}$  and  $x$  is a real so that  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ , then there are  $c, d \in A$  such that  $x = \frac{c}{d}$ . And hence there are  $c, d \in A$  such that  $cA + dA = \mathbb{R}$ .

Then a natural question arises: Is there an additive subgroup  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  and an  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\dim_H(A) < \frac{1}{2}$  (or even  $= 0$ ) and  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ ? We will postpone the discussion of this question to the next section. For the remainder of the current section, we discuss some reductions of the complexity of analytic subgroups  $A$  such that  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$  for some  $x$ .

**Theorem 3.14.** Suppose that  $A$  is an analytic subgroup of  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ .

- (1) If  $x$  is a real such that  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ , then there is an  $F_\sigma$  subgroup  $B \subseteq A$  such that  $B + xB = \mathbb{R}$ .
- (2) If  $\{x : A + xA = \mathbb{R}\}$  is conull (its complement is null), then there is an  $F_\sigma$  subgroup  $B \subseteq A$  such that  $\{x : B + xB = \mathbb{R}\}$  is conull.

Note that by Proposition 3.11,  $X_A$  is invariant under translation by rational numbers. Thus if  $\{x : A + xA = \mathbb{R}\}$  is measurable and has positive measure, it is automatically conull. Hence  $\{x : A + xA = \mathbb{R}\}$  is conull in any interval of finite length by the 0-1 law for probability measures (see Kechris [10] 17.1).



We need the following uniformization theorem (see Kechris [10] 18.1) before the proof.

**Fact 3.15** (Jankov, von Neumann). *Let  $X, Y$  be standard Borel spaces and  $P \subseteq X \times Y$  is analytic. Then there is a  $\Sigma$ -measurable function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $(x, f(x)) \in P$  for all  $x \in \text{proj}_X(P)$ , the projection from  $P$  to  $X$ , where  $\Sigma$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the analytic sets.*

*Proof of Theorem 3.14.* First note that, if spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  are Borel subsets of Euclidean spaces, the function  $f$  in Fact 3.15 is Lebesgue measurable by Fact 2.7.

(1). Suppose that  $A$  is an analytic subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ . Then the graph of the function  $\varphi : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  so that  $(a, b) \mapsto a + xb$  is an analytic subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . By Fact 3.15, there is a Lebesgue measurable function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A \times A$  such that for any  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varphi(f(z)) = z$ . By Lusin's Theorem in real analysis, there is a compact set  $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with positive Lebesgue measure and a continuous function  $\psi : P \rightarrow A \times A$  so that for any  $z \in P$ ,  $\varphi(\psi(z)) = z$ . Let

$$C_0 = \{a \in \mathbb{R} : \exists z \in P \exists b \in \mathbb{R} (\psi(z) = (a, b))\},$$

and

$$C_1 = \{b \in \mathbb{R} : \exists z \in P \exists a \in \mathbb{R} (\psi(z) = (a, b))\}.$$

Both  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  are compact and so is  $C_0 \cup C_1$ . Let  $B$  be the additive group generated by  $C_0 \cup C_1$ . Then  $B$  is a  $F_\sigma$  set and  $B \subseteq A$ . Moreover, the image  $\varphi(B \times B) \supseteq P$  has positive measure. Clearly  $\varphi(B \times B)$  is also a subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$  and so by Corollary 1.2,  $\varphi(B \times B) = \mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $B + xB = \mathbb{R}$ .

(2). The proof (2) is similar to (1). Recall that for  $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$X_G = \{x : G + xG = \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Suppose that  $A$  is an analytic subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $X_A$  is conull. Then there is a conull  $F_\sigma$  subset  $F \subseteq X_A$ . Thus, it suffices to show that there is a subgroup  $B \subseteq A$  such that for almost all  $x \in F$ , we have  $B + xB = \mathbb{R}$ . Similar to (i), the graph of the function

$$\varphi : A \times A \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times F, (a, b, x) \mapsto (a + xb, x)$$

is an analytic subset of  $\mathbb{R}^5$ . By Fact 3.15, there is a Lebesgue measurable function

$$f : \mathbb{R} \times F \rightarrow A \times A \times F$$

such that for any  $z \in \mathbb{R} \times F$ ,  $\varphi(f(z)) = z$ . By Lusin's Theorem, for all  $n$ , there is a compact subset  $K_n$  of  $\mathbb{R} \times F$  such that  $\psi_n := f \upharpoonright K_n$  is continuous and

$$\mu((\mathbb{R} \times F) \cap B_n(0) \setminus K_n) < \frac{1}{n},$$

where  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $B_n(0) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  is the closed ball with radius  $n$  and center 0. Let

$$C_0 = \{a \in \mathbb{R} : \exists n \exists z \in K_n \exists b, x \in \mathbb{R} (\psi_n(z) = (a, b, x))\},$$

and

$$C_1 = \{b \in \mathbb{R} : \exists n \exists z \in K_n \exists a, x \in \mathbb{R} (\psi_n(z) = (a, b, x))\}.$$

Both  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  are  $F_\sigma$  and so is  $C_0 \cup C_1$ . Let  $B$  be the additive group generated by  $C_0 \cup C_1$ . Then  $B$  is a  $F_\sigma$  set and  $B \subseteq A$ . Moreover, the projection of the image  $\varphi(B \times B \times \{x\})$  to the first coordinate has positive measure for almost all  $x \in F$  by Fubini's theorem since  $(\mathbb{R} \times F) \setminus \bigcup_n K_n$  is null. Like (1), we have that  $X_B$  is conull.  $\square$

## 4. CONSTRUCTIONS ASSUMING CH

The main goal of this section is to prove Theorem 4.7, which asserts the existence of a dimension 0 subgroup  $A \subseteq (\mathbb{R}, +)$  such that  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  under CH. The proof requires certain ingredients in recursion theory and algorithmic randomness which we now recall. A standard reference is the monograph by Downey and Hirschfeldt [2].

We will work in the *Cantor space*  $2^\omega$ , the space of all the functions from the nature numbers  $\omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  to  $\{0, 1\}$ . We consider the elements of  $2^\omega$  as reals, which is more suited for tools coming from recursion theory.

We denote the set of binary strings of finite length by  $2^{<\omega}$ . Given  $\sigma, \tau \in 2^{<\omega}$ , we write  $\sigma \prec \tau$  if  $\sigma$  is a proper initial segment of  $\tau$ . The same notation is applied when  $\tau$  is replaced by a real  $x \in 2^\omega$ . We write  $\sigma\tau$  to denote the string obtained by concatenating  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ . The Cantor space is equipped with a topology generated by the basic clopen sets  $I_\sigma = \{\sigma\alpha : \alpha \in 2^\omega\}$  for  $\sigma \in 2^{<\omega}$ . It is also a measure space: the Lebesgue measure  $\mu(I_\sigma) = 2^{-|\sigma|}$ , where  $|\sigma|$  is the length of the string  $\sigma$ .

An element  $x$  of  $2^\omega$  can be identified as a set  $z \subseteq \omega$  as its characteristic function. For a coinfinite set  $z \subseteq \omega$ , let

$$F(z) = \sum_{i \in z} 2^{-i-1} \in [0, 1) \subseteq \mathbb{R}.$$

$F$  is an “isometry” between the coinull subset of  $2^\omega$  consisting of the coinfinite sets and the interval  $[0, 1)$ . Note that under  $F$ , the measure  $\mu$  on  $2^\omega$  turns into the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Similarly the Hausdorff dimension is also preserved between  $2^\omega$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ . For a rigorous proof of this fact, see Section 4 of [7]. There the authors proved that  $F$  preserve the property of having positive Hausdorff measure.

Since the set of all the cofinite elements in  $2^\omega$  is countable, it is  $\mu$ -null. Hence we assume that every real  $x \in 2^\omega$  we deal with is coinfinite and consider the arithmetic operations on  $2^\omega$  to be the same as arithmetic operations on  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ .

Given reals  $x, y$ . We say  $x$  is *Turing reducible* to  $y$ , written  $x \leq_T y$ , if there is an algorithm using  $y$  as an oracle that computes  $x$ . We say  $x$  is *Turing equivalent* to  $y$ , or they have the same *Turing degree*, written  $x \equiv_T y$ , if  $x \leq_T y$  and  $y \leq_T x$ .

Given a real  $y$ , a subset of  $2^{<\omega}$  is *recursively enumerable (r.e.) in  $y$*  if there is an algorithm using  $y$  as an oracle that lists its elements (in no particular order). If  $W \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$  is r.e. in  $y$ , then the set  $U \subseteq 2^\omega$  of reals with an initial segment in  $W$  is called a  $\Sigma_1^0(y)$  set.

For every string  $\sigma \in 2^{<\omega}$  and  $x \in 2^\omega$ , let  $K(\sigma)$  be the *prefix-free Kolmogorov complexity* of  $\sigma$  and  $K^x(\sigma)$  be the prefix-free Kolmogorov complexity of  $\sigma$  relativized to  $x$  (see [2]).

**Definition 4.1.** Given reals  $x, y \in 2^\omega$ , define the real  $x \oplus y$  such that for each  $n$ ,  $x \oplus y(2n) = x(n)$  and  $x \oplus y(2n+1) = y(n)$ . Note that operation  $\oplus$  is not associative. However, it is invariant under Turing degree. For example, we have  $(x_1 \oplus x_2) \oplus x_3 \equiv_T x_1 \oplus (x_2 \oplus x_3)$ .

Then we define by recursion that

$$x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n = x_1 \oplus (x_2 \oplus x_3 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n).$$

We need the concept of *generic reals* in the proof of Theorem 4.7.

**Definition 4.2.** (i) A set  $S \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$  is *dense* if for every  $\sigma \in 2^{<\omega}$ , there is a string  $\tau \in S$  such that  $\tau \succ \sigma$ .

(ii) Given reals  $x, y$ . We say that  $x$  is  $y$ -generic if for every  $\Sigma_1^0(y)$  dense set  $S \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$ , there is a string  $\sigma \prec x$  such that  $\sigma \in S$ . We say  $x$  is generic if  $x$  is  $x_0$ -generic where  $x_0(n) = 0$  for all  $n \in \omega$ .

Note that for each real  $y$ ,  $x$  is  $y$ -generic implies  $x$  is generic since  $x_0 \leq_T y$  for all  $y \in 2^\omega$  and every  $\Sigma_1^0(x_0)$  dense set is also  $\Sigma_1^0(y)$ .

It is worth mentioning that our definition of  $y$ -generic reals should be named as the *weakly 1- $y$ -generic reals* according to the tradition of recursion theory. Since there is no need for the more general concept of  $n$ -genericity in this paper, we state the definition as above for convenience.

We need the following facts in [6] and [11] respectively.

**Fact 4.3** (Hölzl et al. [6]). *There is a constant  $c$  such that for every generic real  $x$  and  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ , there are infinitely many  $n$  such that*

$$\left( \forall m \in [n, 2^{2^n}] \right) [K(G \upharpoonright m) \leq K(m) + c \wedge G(m) = i].$$

**Fact 4.4** (The point-to-set principle for Hausdorff dimension, Lutz and Lutz [11]). *For every set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , we have*

$$\dim_H(E) = \inf_{A \subseteq \omega} \sup_{x \in E} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K^A(x \upharpoonright n)}{n}.$$

From these two facts we can easily derive a well-known result in recursion theory which is a crucial ingredient in the proof of Theorem 4.7.

**Lemma 4.5** (Folklore). *Let  $G = \{x \in 2^\omega : x \text{ is generic}\}$ , then  $\dim_H(G) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $K(n) \leq \log n + 2 \log \log n + O(1)$  for every  $n \in \omega$  (see [2]). Then by Fact 4.3, we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K(x \upharpoonright n)}{n} = 0$$

for every generic real  $x$ . Hence by the point-to-set principle,  $\dim_H(G) = 0$ .  $\square$

The following lemma reflects the intuition that genericity is preserved under arithmetic operations. Recall that we consider the arithmetic operations on  $2^\omega$  to be the same as arithmetic operations on  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *Given  $x, a, b, g \in 2^\omega$ ,  $a, g \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . If  $g$  is  $a \oplus b \oplus x$ -generic, then  $g + b$ ,  $a \cdot g$ ,  $g^{-1}$  and  $g^n$  are  $a \oplus b \oplus x$ -generic, and so is  $a \cdot g + b$ .*

*Proof.* Fix a  $\Sigma_1^0(a \oplus b \oplus x)$  dense set  $S$  and  $\{S_s\}_{s \in \omega}$  an  $a \oplus b \oplus x$ -recursive enumeration of  $S$  ( $S_n \subseteq S_{n+1}$  for each  $n$  and there is exactly one element in  $S_{n+1} \setminus S_n$ ). We inductively define  $S'_s$  at stage  $s + 1$  as follows:

Suppose  $\sigma \in S_{s+1} \setminus S_s$ . Then there is an  $\tau \in 2^{<\omega}$  such that

$$\forall y \succ \tau(y + b \succ \sigma),$$

since there are infinitely many 0s in the sequence  $b$ . Choose the  $\tau$  as above which has the shortest length and enumerate it into  $S'$ .

This finishes the construction at stage  $s + 1$ .

Clearly  $S'$  is  $\Sigma_1^0(a \oplus b \oplus x)$ . Fix  $\gamma \in 2^{<\omega}$ . Then there are  $\gamma_1 \succ \gamma$  and  $\gamma_2 \in 2^{<\omega}$  such that  $\gamma_1$  is the shortest string  $\theta$  which satisfies that

$$\forall y \succ \theta(y + b \succ \gamma_2).$$

Since  $S$  is dense, there is an  $\rho \in S$  such that  $\rho \succ \gamma_2$ . By the definition of  $S'$ , there is an  $\tau \in S'$  such that

$$\forall y \succ \tau(y + b \succ \rho).$$

Then  $\tau \succeq \gamma_1 \succ \gamma$ . Hence  $S'$  is dense.

Since  $g$  is  $a \oplus b \oplus x$ -generic, then there is an  $\tau \prec g$  such that  $\tau \in S'$ . By the definition of  $S'$ , there is an  $\sigma \in S$  such that  $\sigma \prec g + b$ . I.e.,  $g + b$  is  $a \oplus b \oplus x$ -generic. Similarly one can proof  $a \cdot g$ ,  $g^{-1}$  and  $g^n$  are  $a \oplus b \oplus x$ -generic.  $\square$

Now we can prove the second part of Theorem 1.3. We restate it as follows for convenience.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Assuming CH. There is a subgroup  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A) = 0$  such that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$  if and only if  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $\{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)\}_{\alpha < \aleph_1}$  an enumeration of  $(\text{dom}(F) \setminus F^{-1}(\mathbb{Q})) \times \text{dom}(F)$  ( $F$  is defined at the beginning of this section). We construct a sequence of pairs of reals  $\{(g_\alpha, h_\alpha)\}_{\alpha < \aleph_1}$  by induction on  $\alpha < \aleph_1$ .

At stage  $\alpha$ . Define

$$G_\alpha = \{x_\beta \oplus y_\beta \oplus g_\beta \oplus h_\beta \oplus x_\alpha \oplus y_\alpha : \beta < \alpha\}.$$

Let

$$I_\alpha = \{x : (\exists n)(\exists a_0, \dots, a_n \in G_\alpha)[x \leq_T a_0 \oplus a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n]\},$$

i.e. the ideal (in the Turing reduction sense) generated by  $G_\alpha$ . Since  $I_\alpha$  is countable, there is a real  $g_\alpha$  that is  $z$ -generic for any  $z \in I_\alpha$ . Let  $h_\alpha = \frac{y_\alpha - g_\alpha}{x_\alpha}$ .

This finishes the construction at stage  $\alpha$ .

Let  $A_0$  be the additive group generated by  $\{g_\alpha : \alpha < \aleph_1\} \cup \{h_\alpha : \alpha < \aleph_1\}$ . We prove that  $A_0$  only contains generic reals.

For any  $g \in A_0$ , there are finite sequences  $\{g_{\alpha_i}, h_{\alpha_i}, s_i, t_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ , where  $s_i, t_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and ordinals  $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_n$  such that  $s_n^2 + t_n^2 \neq 0$  and

$$g = \sum_{i=0}^n (s_i g_{\alpha_i} + t_i h_{\alpha_i}).$$

Define  $c = (\oplus_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} (g_{\alpha_i} \oplus h_{\alpha_i})) \oplus x_{\alpha_n} \oplus y_{\alpha_n}$ . By the construction,  $g_{\alpha_n}$  is  $c$ -generic. Also

$$s_n g_{\alpha_n} + t_n h_{\alpha_n} = t_n \frac{y_{\alpha_n}}{x_{\alpha_n}} + \left(s_n - \frac{t_n}{x_{\alpha_n}}\right) g_{\alpha_n},$$

so

$$g = \left(s_n - \frac{t_n}{x_{\alpha_n}}\right) g_{\alpha_n} + \left(t_n \frac{y_{\alpha_n}}{x_{\alpha_n}} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (s_i g_{\alpha_i} + t_i h_{\alpha_i})\right).$$

Since  $x_{\alpha_n}$  is irrational, we have that  $s_n - \frac{t_n}{x_{\alpha_n}} \neq 0$ . By Lemma 4.6,  $g$  is  $c$ -generic and hence generic. Thus  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A_0) = 0$  by Lemma 4.5.

Let  $A$  be the subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$  generated by  $F(A_0)$ . Then  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A) = 0$ .

For any pair  $(x, y)$  with  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , there are members  $g, h \in A$  so that  $h = \frac{y-g}{x}$  and so  $g + x \cdot h = y$ . In other words, for any  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ .

If  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then by Proposition 3.1,  $A + xA \neq \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

The complexity of the subgroup  $A$  in Theorem 4.7 is not evident from the construction. So we may ask that whether there is such a subgroup with low complexity. For example, can the  $F_\sigma$  set  $A$  constructed in Proposition 3.8 satisfy the requirements in Theorem 4.7? The following proposition shows that it is impossible.

**Proposition 4.8.** *Suppose  $A$  is a  $F_\sigma$  null subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then there is an irrational  $x$  such that  $A + xA$  is null. Moreover, the set  $\{x : \mu(A + xA) = 0\}$  is comeager (the complement of a set of first category).*

The following fact is clear.

**Fact 4.9.** *Work in  $\mathbb{R}$ , suppose that  $\{I_i\}_{i \leq n}$  is a finite set of open intervals and  $J$  is an open interval. Then  $\mu((\bigcup_{i \leq n} I_i) + J) \leq n\mu(J) + \sum_{k \leq n} \mu(I_k)$ .*

*Proof.* (of Proposition 4.8). Suppose  $A = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n$  is a  $F_\sigma$  null subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . We may assume that each  $A_n$  is compact. We claim that for each  $m, n \geq 1$ , the set

$$D_{m,n} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \mu(A_n + xA_n) < \frac{1}{m} \right\}$$

contains a dense open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

To see it. Given  $m, n \geq 1$ , we shall show that  $D_{m,n}$  contains a dense open set in  $(0, 1)$ . It is not hard to generalize the proof to show that  $D_{m,n}$  contains a dense open set in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Given any string  $\sigma \in 2^{<\omega}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ . For some real

$$x = 0.\sigma 0^q x^* \in (0, 1)$$

where  $x^* \in 2^\omega$  is the tail of the binary expansion of  $x$ . Define  $y = 0.x^* \in (0, 1)$ . Then

$$A_n + xA_n \subseteq A_n + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq |\sigma| - 1} \sigma(i) 2^{-i-1} A_n + 2^{-|\sigma| - q} y A_n.$$

By the compactness of  $A_n$ ,  $A_n + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq |\sigma| - 1} \sigma(i) 2^{-i-1} A_n$  is also a compact set. By Proposition 3.1,

$$A_n + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq |\sigma| - 1} \sigma(i) 2^{-i-1} A_n \subseteq A + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq |\sigma| - 1} \sigma(i) 2^{-i-1} A$$

is null. So there is a finite open cover  $\{I_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq k}$  of  $A_n + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq |\sigma| - 1} \sigma(i) 2^{-i-1} A_n$  such that each  $I_j$  is an open interval and

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} |I_j| < \frac{1}{2m}.$$

Suppose that  $A_n \subseteq [-N, N]$  and so  $yA_n \subseteq [-N, N]$ . Then for  $q$  sufficiently large such that

$$2^{-q+1} Nk < \frac{1}{2m},$$

by Lemma 4.9, we have that for any  $y \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A_n + \sum_{0 \leq i \leq |\sigma|-1} \sigma(i) 2^{-i-1} A_n + 2^{-|\sigma|-q} y A_n) \\ < \sum_{0 \leq j \leq k} |I_j| + k 2^{-q-|\sigma|} 2N \leq \frac{1}{2m} + \frac{1}{2m} = \frac{1}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu(A_n + x A_n) < \frac{1}{m}$  for each  $x$  extends  $\sigma 0^q$ .

By the Baire category theorem, the set  $\{x : \mu(A + xA) = 0\}$  is comeager. Hence there is an irrational  $x$  such that  $A + xA = \bigcup_n (A_n + xA_n)$  is null.  $\square$

Using the method in the proof of Theorem 4.7, we can construct a maximal subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that some given point is not in its algebraic closure relative to  $\mathbb{R}$  with Hausdorff dimension 0 (and hence measurable) assuming ZFC + CH.

**Corollary 4.10.** *Given  $x$  a transcendental number, there is a subfield  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\dim_H(A) = 0$  and  $x$  is not in the algebraic closure of  $A$  relative to  $\mathbb{R}$ , and for any  $y \notin A$ , we have  $x$  is in the algebraic closure of  $A \cup \{y\}$  relative to  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $\{y_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \aleph_1}$  an enumeration of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Fix  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Define  $A = \bigcup_{\alpha < \aleph_1} A_\alpha$  by induction on  $\alpha < \aleph_1$ .

At stage 0. Define  $A_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $x$  is a transcendental, we have that  $x$  is not in that relative algebraic closure of  $A_0$ .

At stage  $\alpha > 0$ . Suppose we have a countable field

$$B_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta \subseteq G \cup \mathbb{Q}$$

such that  $x$  is not in the relative algebraic closure of  $B_\alpha$ . Choose the least  $\gamma \geq \alpha$  such that  $y_\gamma \notin B_\alpha$  and  $x$  is not in the relative algebraic closure of  $B_\alpha \cup \{y_\gamma\}$  (if such a  $\gamma$  does not exist, end the whole construction). Choose a sufficiently generic (as in the proof of Theorem 4.7) real  $g$  such that  $x$  is not in the relative algebraic closure of  $B_\alpha \cup \left\{g, \frac{g-x}{y_\gamma}\right\}$ . Such a  $g$  always exists since there are only countably many  $h$  such that  $x$  is in the relative algebraic closure of  $B_\alpha \cup \left\{h, \frac{h-x}{y_\gamma}\right\}$  by our assumption on  $y_\gamma$  and  $B_\alpha$ . Let  $A_\alpha$  be the field generated by  $B_\alpha \cup \left\{g, \frac{g-x}{y_\gamma}\right\}$ . Then  $A_\alpha \subseteq G \cup \mathbb{Q}$  by Lemma 4.6.

Then  $A = \bigcup_{\alpha < \aleph_1} A_\alpha \subseteq G \cup \mathbb{Q}$  and hence  $\dim_H(A) = 0$ . The maximal property of  $A$  follows directly from the construction.  $\square$

## 5. FURTHER QUESTIONS

As Mauldin [14] asked at the end of the paper, we also concern about whether one could produce a subgroup  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the conditions in Theorem 4.7 in ZFC.

**Question 2.** *Can CH in Theorem 4.7 be removed?*

The next question is about the complexity of the subgroup  $A$  in Theorem 4.7.

- Question 3.** (1) *Is there a Borel subgroup  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A) = 0$  for which there is some real  $x$  such that  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ ? Additionally,*  
 (2) *Is there a Borel subgroup  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A) = 0$  such that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$  if and only if  $A + xA = \mathbb{R}$ ? Such a group cannot be  $F_{\sigma}$  by Proposition 4.8.*

**Question 4.** *Is there a  $y \notin \mathbb{Q}$  such that there exists a measurable maximal subfield of  $\mathbb{R}$  avoiding  $y$ ?*

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bourgain. *On the Erdős-Volkmann and Katz-Tao ring conjectures*. Geom. Funct. Anal., 13 (2):334–365, 2003. [1](#)
- [2] Rodney G. Downey and Denis R. Hirschfeldt. *Algorithmic randomness and complexity*. Theory and Applications of Computability. Springer, New York, 2010. [4](#), [4](#)
- [3] G. A. Edgar and Chris Miller. *Borel subrings of the reals*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 131 (4):1121–1129, 2003. [1](#)
- [4] Kenneth Falconer. *Fractal geometry*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, Third edition, 2014. Mathematical foundations and applications. [1](#), [3](#), [3](#)
- [5] Barthélemy Le Gac. *Some properties of Borel subgroups of real numbers*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 87 (4):677–680, 1983. [3.12](#)
- [6] Rupert Hölzl, Wolfgang Merkle, Joseph Miller, Frank Stephan, and Liang Yu. *Chaitin’s  $\Omega$  as a continuous function*. J. Symb. Log., 85 (1):486–510, 2020. [4](#), [4.3](#)
- [7] Andrew Marks, Dino Rossegger, and Theodore Slaman. *Hausdorff dimension and countable Borel equivalence relations*. Preprint, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.22034>. [4](#)
- [8] Nathan Jacobson. *Basic algebra. II*. W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, Second edition, 1989. [2.1](#), [2.2](#)
- [9] Thomas Jech, *Set theory*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, Millennium edition, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2003, Springer. [2.7](#), [2](#)
- [10] Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 156, 1995, Springer. [2](#), [3](#)
- [11] Jack H. Lutz and Neil Lutz. *Algorithmic information, plane Kakeya sets, and conditional dimension*. ACM Trans. Comput. Theory, 10 (2):0, 2018. [4](#), [4.4](#)
- [12] Jack H. Lutz, Renrui Qi, and Liang Yu. *The point-to-set principle and the dimensions of Hamel bases*. Computability, 13 (2):105–112, 2024. [3.2](#)
- [13] John M. Marstrand. *Some fundamental geometrical properties of plane sets of fractional dimensions*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 4:257–302, 1954. [3.6](#)
- [14] R. Daniel Mauldin. *Subfields of  $\mathbb{R}$  with arbitrary Hausdorff dimension*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 161 (1):157–165, 2016. [1](#), [5](#)
- [15] Frank Quigley. *Maximal subfields of an algebraically closed field not containing a given element*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 13:562–566, 1962. [1](#)
- [16] Nicolas de Saxcé. *Borelian subgroups of simple Lie groups*. Duke Math. J., 166:573–604, 2017. [1](#)
- [17] Robert M. Solovay. *A model of set-theory in which every set of reals is Lebesgue measurable*. Ann. of Math. (2), 92:1–56, 1970. [2](#)
- [18] Hugo Steinhaus. *Sur les distances des points de mesure positive*. Fundamenta Mathematicae, 1 (1):93–104, 1920. [1.1](#)
- [19] Karl Stromberg. *An elementary proof of Steinhaus’s theorem*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 36:308, 1972. [2](#)
- [20] Bodo Volkmann and Paul Erdős. *Additive gruppen mit vorgegebener hausdorffscher dimension*. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 1966 (221):203–208, 1966. [1](#)



MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, OXFORD, OXFORD OX2 6GG, UK

*Email address:* `jinhe.ye@maths.ox.ac.uk`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING, JIANGSU 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*Email address:* `yuliang.nju@gmail.com`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING, JIANGSU 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*Email address:* `xuanheng21@gmail.com`